

3.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3.1 INTRODUCTION

TABLE 3-1
Summary Table of Impacts and
Mitigation Measures are found on the following pages.

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.1	Land Use and Agricultural Resources				
4.1-1	Conflict with Land Use Plans, Policies, or Regulations. Implementation of the proposed Specific Plan would require the adoption of new zoning districts and a General Plan amendment.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.1-2	Potential incompatibility of internal land uses. The proposed project will include residential, commercial and parks land uses. Design of the individual projects consistent with the City's Communitywide Design Guidelines would reduce the potential for conflicts.	CSP	LTS for potential sensitive use adjacencies S for temporary construction impacts	MM 4.6-1 Construction Noise Measures; MM 4.6-2 Commercial Noise Controls.	LTS
		UR	LTS for potential sensitive use adjacencies S for temporary construction impacts	MM 4.6-1 Construction Noise Measures; MM 4.6-2 Commercial Noise Controls.	LTS
4.1-3	Potential incompatibility with existing agricultural and other land uses in the Urban Reserve parcel, the County, and the City of Roseville. At the time the Urban Reserve builds out, surrounding uses including the CSP could be subject to construction noise, and dust.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.5-2 Construction Noise Policies; WMM 4.4-3 Reduction of Construction Emissions	LTS
4.1-4	The project is located approximately 7 miles north of McClellan Airfield. It will be subject to overflights.	CSP	SU	None Identified	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant

SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
		UR	SU	None Identified	SU
4.1-5	Conversion of agricultural land to developed uses. The project would convert grazing land to urban uses. However, no prime land or soils that support agricultural uses are present on the project site.	CSP	S	MM 4.1-2 Agricultural Compensation; MM 4.8-4 Off-site and On-site Preservation of Grassland Habitat	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.1-3 Agricultural Conversion Policies	LTS
4.1-6	Consistency with Habitat Conservation Plans	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.2	Population, Employment and Housing				
4.2-1	Significant change in the jobs/housing balance. The proposed project would increase the number of jobs and housing units in the City, but would not create a jobs/housing imbalance.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.2-2	Provision of Affordable Housing. The proposed project would provide approximately 2,011 new residential units, a substantial portion of which will be medium and high-density that would provide affordable housing options. Further the project will comply with the City's 10 percent affordability standards.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.2-1 Affordable Housing Policies	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.2-3	Displacement of existing housing. The proposed project would not displace existing housing to households of low or moderate income.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	NI	None required	NI
4.2-4	Inducement of substantial population growth. The proposed project would result in substantial population growth.	CSP	S	None available	SU
		UR	S	None available	SU
4.3	Transportation and Circulation				
4.3-1	Increased volumes on City of Roseville existing conditions. . With the introduction of traffic from the proposed project, a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes would increase at several signalized study intersections in the Plan area, resulting in an LOS of D or worse at various intersections.	CSP	S	MM 4.3-1 Pay Fair Share of improvements in the CIP	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.3-1 Pay Fair Share of improvements in the CIP	LTS
4.3-2	Increased demand for transit. Unacceptable AM and PM. Peak Hour LOS at Signalized Intersections under Cumulative (2025) Plus Project Conditions. The proposed project would increase traffic conditions in the City of Roseville.	CSP	PS	MM 4.3-2 Pay Fair Share Toward Transit Improvements	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.3-9 Transit Services Policies	LTS
4.3-3	Impacts to bicycle facilities. Implementation of the proposed land uses in the Plan area would increase the demand for bicycle facilities in the plan area.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.3-7 Provide Appropriate Bicycle Network With Future Specific Plan Submittal	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.3-4	Increased volumes on City of Rocklin roadways existing conditions. Implementation of the proposed land uses in the Plan area would increase traffic in the city of Rocklin.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-5	Increased volumes on City of Lincoln roadways existing conditions. Implementation of the proposed land uses in the Plan area would increase traffic in the city of Lincoln.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-6	Increased traffic volumes on Placer County Intersections under existing conditions.	CSP	S	MM-4.3-3, Placer County: Contribute Fair Share Costs to Roadways	SU
		UR	S	WMM-4.3-4: Construct Identified Improvements on Placer County Roadways	SU
4.3-7	Increase traffic volumes on Placer County roadway segments under existing conditions. ➤ Walerga Road south of Baseline (LOS D to LOS E)	CSP	S	MM-4.3-3 Placer County Intersections: Pay Fair Share Cost of Improvements; MM 4.3-4 Pay Fair Share of Walerga Road Improvements	SU
		UR	S	WMM-4.3-4 Construct Identified Improvements; MM-4.3-3 Placer County Intersections: Pay Fair Share Cost of Improvements; Pay Fair Share of Walerga Road Improvements	SU
4.3-8	Increased traffic volumes on existing Sacramento County intersections.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant

SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
					LTS
4.3-9	Increased traffic volumes on existing Sacramento County roadway segments. Walerga Road south of Elverta	CSP	S	MM 4.3-5 Contribute Fair Share Costs to Sacramento County Facilities	SU
		UR	S	MM 4.3-5 Contribute Fair Share Costs to Sacramento Facilities	SU
4.3-10	Increased traffic volumes on existing Sutter County intersections. Pleasant Grove S. and Riego Road (LOS E to F)	CSP	LTS	MM 4.3-6 Contribute Fair Share Costs to Sutter County Facilities.	SU
		UR	LTS	MM 4.3-6 Pay Fair Share Costs to Sutter County Facilities	SU
4.3-11	Increased traffic volumes on existing Sutter County roadways.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-12	Increased traffic volumes on existing State interchanges. One interchange would degrade with traffic from the project under existing conditions: I-80 Eastbound off at Taylor Road/Eureka Boulevard	CSP	LTS	MM 4.3-7: Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Interchanges	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.3-7: Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Interchanges	LTS
4.3-13	Increased traffic volumes on existing State highways. Douglas Boulevard to Eureka Road – 0.1 percent increase in ADT	CSP	S	MM 4.3-8: Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Roadway Segments	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	- Eureka to Talyor Road-0.6 percent increase in ADT - Taylor Road to 65-0.6 percent increase in ADT - I-80 to Galleria Boulevard-1.7 percent increase in ADT - Galleria Boulevard to Pleasant Grove Boulevard-2.8 percent increase in ADT - Pleasant Grove to Blue Oaks Bouelvard-3.7 percent increase in ADT	UR	S	MM 4.3-8: Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Roadway Segments	SU
4.3-14	Increased traffic on City of Roseville under 2025 conditions. Under the year 2025 scenario the project would cause level of service impacts to intersections. A.M Peak Hour: - Cirby/Foothills PM Peak Hour: - Blue Oaks & Diamond Creek - Pleasant Grove & Fiddymment	CSP	S	MM 4.3-1 Pay Fair Share Improvements to the CIP.	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.3-1 Traffic Improvement Policies.	SU
4.3-15	Consistency of project with City's policy of 70 percent of intersections operating at LOS C or better. The project would meet the City's 70 percent LOS policy under year 2025 conditions.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.3-16	Increased demand of transit service 2025	CSP	PS	MM 4.3-2 Pay Fair Share Toward Transit Improvements	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.3-9 Transit Services Policies	LTS
4.3-17	Increased demand on bicycle facilities 2025	CSP	LTS	None Required	
		UR	PS	WMM 4.3-7 Provide Appropriate Bicycle Network With Future Specific Plan Submittal	SU
4.3-18	Increased traffic on Placer County intersections under 2025 conditions. Traffic from the project would cause and impact intersections. • Fiddymont/Athens	CSP	S	MM 4.3-2, Placer County Roadways: Pay Fair Share of Improvements	
		UR	PS	WMM 4.3-4 Construct Identified Improvements on Placer County Roadways; MM 4.3-2, Placer County Roadways: Pay Fair Share of Improvements	SU
4.3-19	Increased traffic on Placer County segments under 2025 conditions.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.3-20	Increased traffic on Sacramento County roadway intersections under 2025 conditions. None of the Sacramento County intersections	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	experience a significant level of service degradation with the addition of the proposed project.	UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-21	Increased traffic on Sacramento County roadway segments under 2025 conditions.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.3-22	Increased traffic on Sutter County Intersections	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-23	Increased traffic on Sutter County roadway segments under 2025 conditions.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.3-24	Increased traffic on City of Rocklin roadway segments under 2025 conditions. No impacts would occur with project traffic in 2025.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-25	Increased traffic on City of Lincoln roadway segments under 2025 conditions.	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.3-26	Increased traffic volumes on	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	existing State interchanges.	UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.3-27	Increased traffic volumes on existing State highways.	CSP	S	MM 4.3-8, Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Roadway Segments	SU
		UR	S	MM 4.3-8, Contribute Fair Share Costs to State Roadway Segments	SU
4.3-26	Short and long-term construction impacts.	CSP	S	MM 4.1-2 Agricultural Compensation, MM 4.13-2 Stormwater Management Development Standards, MM 4.8-1 No Net Loss of Wetlands, MM 4.8-7 Offsite Surveys, MM 4.8-6 Habitat Restoration, MM 4.9-1 Cease Work and consult with Qualified Archaeologist, MM 4.4-1 Dust and Construction Control Measures, MM 4.10-1 Identify Potential Hazardous Materials; MM 4.6-1 Construction Noise Reduction, MM 4.9-2 Cease Work Until Review conducted by Qualified Paleontologist and Recommendations Implemented, MM 4.9-3 conduct Appropriate Off-site Studies	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
		UR	S	MM 4.1-2 Agricultural compensation, WMM 4.7-2 Wetland Protection Policies, WMM 4.7-15 Conduct Appropriate Offsite Surveys, WMM 4.8-2 Include policies and Conditions that Require Proper Handling of Archaeological Resources; WMM 4.8-13 Conduct Appropriate Surveys, MM 4.13-3 Storm Water Quality Policies, WMM 4.4-3 Reduction of Construction Emissions; WMM 4.5-2 Construction Noise Policies; WMM 4.9-2 Soil Contamination Policies	SU
4.4	Air Quality				
4.4-1	Generate short-term construction-related emissions. Construction activities in Plan area would exceed PCAPCD's significance threshold of 82 lbs/day. Thus, project-generated, construction-related emissions of ROG, NOx and PM10 could violate or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation and/or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, especially considering the nonattainment status of Western Placer County.	CSP	S	MM 4.4-1 Dust and Construction Control Measures; 4.4-2 A-H Short-term Construction Related Impacts	Short Term SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.4-2 Dust and Construction Control Policies and WMM 4.4-3 Reduction of Construction Emissions	Short Term SU
4.4-2	Generate long-term operation-related (regional) emissions. Project-generated operation-related emissions of ROG and NOx would	CSP	S	WMM 4.4-4 Project Measures to Reduce Operational Emissions; WMM 4.4-6 Operational Emissions Policies	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	exceed PCAPCD's recommended cumulative summertime threshold of 10 lb. Project-generated, operation-related emissions could violate or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations and/or conflict with air quality planning efforts.	UR	S	WMM 4.4-4 Project Measures to Reduce Operational Emissions WMM 4.4-6 Operational Emissions Policies	SU
4.4-3	Project-generated, long-term operation-related (local) mobile-source emissions of CO would not violate or contribute substantially to a violation of the CAAQS or NAAQS, or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.4-4	Exposure to toxic air contaminants.	CSP	PS	WMM 4.4-7 (a) Risk Assessment and Site Specific Measures; MM 4.4-3 Screening Health Risks	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.4-7 (a) Risk Assessment and Site Specific Measures	LTS
4.4-5	Exposure of sensitive receptors to odors.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.4-6	Consistency with plans and policies	CSP	S	WMM 4.4-4 Project Measures to Reduce Operational Emissions; MM 4.4-1 Dust and Construction Control Measures	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
		UR	S	WMM 4.4-5 Operational Emissions Policies	SU
4.5	Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions				
4.5-1	Increased short-term construction-related and long-term operational greenhouse gas emissions.	CSP	PS	MM 4.5-1 Air Quality Measures and MM 4.5-2 Additional Measures to Reduce GHG Emissions	SU
		UR	PS	WMM 4.4-4 Reduction of Construction Emissions; MM 4.5-1 Air Quality Measures; and MM 4.5-2 Additional Measures to Reduce GHG Emissions	SU
4.5-2	Impacts on the proposed project related to global climate change.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.6	Noise				
4.6-1	Implementation of the proposed project would result in short-term construction activities associated with individual development projects in the Plan area. These construction activities could potentially expose sensitive receptors to noise levels in excess of the applicable noise standards and/or result in a noticeable increase in ambient noise levels.	CSP	S	MM 4.6-1 Construction Noise Reduction	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.5-2 Construction Noise Policies and MM 4.6-1 Construction Noise Reduction	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.6-2	Commercial noise sources. Implementation of the project would result in increases in stationary source noise associated with the proposed commercial land uses. These stationary noise sources could potentially exceed the City's noise standards (hourly and maximum) and result in a noticeable increase in ambient noise levels.	CSP	S	MM 4.6-2 Commercial Noise Controls	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.5-4 Commercial Noise Policies	LTS
4.6-3	Compatibility of noise from the Roseville Energy Park	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.6-4	Noise from school related activities	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.6-5	Noise related to park uses.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.6-6	Existing plus project increase in traffic noise.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.6-7	Year 2025 plus project increase in traffic noise outside the plan area. The plan would increase traffic on local roadways that would increase traffic generated noise levels in the vicinity of the project area.	CSP	S	MM 4.6- 3: Traffic Noise Attenuation	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.5-8 Onsite Traffic Noise Attenuation and WMM 4.5-10 Onsite Traffic Noise Policies	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.6-8	Traffic noise impacts at future noise sensitive uses within the project area.	CSP	S	MM 4.6-4 Traffic noise attenuation	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.5-8 Onsite Traffic Noise Attenuation WMM 4.5-10 Onsite Traffic noise Policies	LTS
4.6-9	Consistency with the General Plan Noise Element for non-transportation noise sources and General Plan Amendment. Land use is proposed within the 45-50 dBA contour of the REP.	CSP	S	Proposed General Plan Amendment Table 4.6-11	LTS
		UR	S	Proposed General Plan Amendment Table 4.6-11	LTS
4.6-10	McClellan overflight noise. Aircraft at McClellan fly under 3,000 feet over the project area. Single event noise could cause a nuisance to future residents.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.7	Geology, Soils and Seismicity				
4.7-1	Soil erosion from grading activities.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.7-2	Development of structures on expansive soils or on soils with other limitations.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.7-3	The loss of topsoil due to conversion of agricultural land to urban uses.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.7-4	Exposure to people and structures to seismic hazards.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.8	Vegetation and Wildlife				
4.8-1	Loss of federally protected wetlands and "other waters" of the United States. The project would	CSP	S	MM 4.8-1 (a) Ensure No Net Loss of Wetlands; MM 4.8-1 (b) Wetland Avoidance/Mitigation Plan	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-2 Wetland Protection	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	result in the loss of approximately wetlands and waters of the United States.			Policies	
4.8-2	Loss of Federally Listed Vernal Pool Crustaceans and their habitat. Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp are present within the project site. Construction activities could impact this species.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-1 (a) No Net Loss of Wetlands; MM 4.8-1 (b) Wetland Avoidance/Mitigation Plan MM 4.8-4 Offsite Preservation of Grasslands.	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-2 Wetland Protection Policies, and WMM 4.7-3 Vernal Pool Crustacean Policies	LTS
4.8-3	Loss of rare plant populations. The project area contains vernal pool habitat. Vernal pools represent potential habitat for dwarf dowingia, legenera, and other special status plants. Dwarf dowingia was identified onsite.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-1 No Net Loss of Wetlands; MM 4.8-1 (b) Wetland Avoidance/Mitigation Plan	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-2 Wetland Protection Policies	LTS
4.8-4	Loss or degradation of habitat for Western Spadefoot. No spadefoots were identified during surveys, however, the potential exists for spadefoots to occur on site.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-1 (a) No Net Loss of Wetlands; MM 4.8-2 Relocate Western Spadefoots; MM 4.8-4 Off-site and On-site Preservation of Grassland Habitat	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-5 Spadefoot Protection Policies and WMM 4.7-2 Relocate Western Spadefoots	LTS
4.8-5	Loss or degradation of habitat for Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, and Western Pond Turtle. Implementation of the proposed project	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	would include enhancement of the aquatic and riparian woodland habitats within and along Curry Creek, providing a beneficial long-term impact. None of the species are known to occur in the project area.	UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.8-6	Disruption of Swainson's Hawk, Burrowing Owl, and other legally protected raptors. Construction activities have the potential to damage or disturb Swainson's Hawk, burrowing owls and other raptors through construction activities.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-3 Avoid Nesting Sites and MM 4.8-4 Preservation of Offsite Grasslands	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-7 Nest Protection Policies	LTS
4.8-7	Loss of Grassland Habitat. The project would result in the conversion of grassland habitat to urban uses.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-4 On-site and Off-site Preservation of Grassland Habitat	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-9 Swainson's Hawk Habitat Policies	LTS
4.8-8	Substantial interference with the movement of resident and migratory wildlife species. Proposed project features, grading, and construction activities may overlap or may occur within the drip line of protected trees. Depending upon the configuration of the approved site plans and the final extent of grading, the project may result in potentially significant impacts to protected trees.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-5 Wildlife Movement Protection Policies; MM 4.14-3 Avoid Light-Spillover	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-11 Stream Protection Policies; MM 4.14-3 Avoid Light Spill Over; WMM 4.7-13 (d) Riparian Habitat Policies	LTS
4.8-9	Loss of Oak trees of greater than 6 inches in diameter. A total of six oak trees occur in the project area. Compliance with the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance will ensure that oak trees are protected.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	NI	None required	NI

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.8-10	Loss of riparian habitat. Implementation of the proposed project would result in development that would occur within the riparian habitat and stream corridors along Pleasant Grove Creek and University Creek. Some portions of the project are adjacent to the stream corridor. This proximity presents the possibility of direct and secondary effects to the habitat due to removal of riparian habitat and/or spillover of human intrusion.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-5 Wildlife Movement Protection Policies; MM 4.14-3 Avoid Light Spillover	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.8-5 Wildlife Movement Protection Policies; MM 4.14-3 Avoid Light Spillover	LTS
4.8-11	Loss of biological resources due to construction of off-site infrastructure.	CSP	S	MM 4.8-7 Off-site Surveys; MM 4.8-1 (a) No Net Loss; MM 4.8-1 (b) Wetland Avoidance/Mitigation Plan; MM 4.8-2 Relocate Western Spadefoots; MM 4.8-3 Avoid Nesting Sites; MM 4.8-4 Off-site and On-site Preservation of Grassland Habitat; MM 4.8-5 Wildlife Movement Protection Policies; MM 4.8-6 Habitat Restoration/Onsite Preservation	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.7-2 Wetland Protection Policies; WMM 4.7-3 Vernal Pool Crustacean Policies; WMM 4.7-5 Spadefoot Protection Policies; WMM 4.7-9 Swainson's hawk policies; WMM 4.7-11 Stream Protection Policies; WMM 4.7-15 Conduct appropriate Surveys	LTS
4.8-12	Potential impacts to the California Black Rail. There are no known populations of Black Rail	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	within the project area.	UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.8-13	Potential impacts to bats. Suitable habitat for bats is not present in the project site.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.8-14	Potential impacts to Giant Garter Snake. No known populations of Garter Snake are present within the project area. Further, the Curry Creek drainage will be set aside in permanent open space.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	NI	None required	NI
4.8-15	Impacts to fish habitat. Anadromous fish species, such as Central Valley spring and winter-run Chinook salmon and steelhead are not anticipated to occur within Curry Creek.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	NI	None required	NI
4.8-17	Impacts to beavers. Numerous populations of beavers are known to occur throughout the Roseville area. They are not federally or state protected. While beavers may be present in Pleasant Grove Creek, construction of the project would be considered a less than significant impact.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.8-18	Conflict with the provision of an adopted habitat conservation plan, natural conservation community plan or other approved conservation plan. The County is currently preparing the Placer County Conservation Plan. Although the City is not	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	participating the CSP project and associated mitigation has been developed to complement the proposed program.				
4.9	Cultural and Paleontological Resources				
4.9-1	Disturb, damage, or destroy unidentified subsurface archaeological or historical resources or human remains during project construction. No significant resources were identified during surveys. However, subsurface construction activities including grading or trenching could uncover previously unidentified resources.	CSP	PS	MM 4.9-1 Cease Work and Consult with Qualified Archaeologist	PSU
		UR	PS	WMM 4.8-2 Cultural Resource Handling and Protection; WMM 4.8-3 Conduct Archeological Surveys.	PSU
4.9-2	Removal of historically significant properties and/or loss of historic integrity of such resources. No historical resources are known to occur in the Plan area.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.9-3	Disturb unknown paleontological resources during site preparation. Project-related construction activities could uncover or otherwise disturb previously undiscovered or unrecorded paleontological resources.	CSP	S	MM 4.9-2 Cease Work and Consult with Qualified Paleontologist	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.8-11, Policies to Ensure Proper Handling of Paleontological Resources	LTS
4.9-4	Damage or destroy historical, archaeological, prehistoric, or paleontological resources during construction of off-site infrastructure. Construction of utility lines and roadway improvements could result in impacts to historic resources.	CSP	S	MM 4.9-1 Cease Work and Consult with Qualified Archaeologist; MM 4.9-2 Cease Work and Consult with Qualified Paleontologist; MM 4.9-3 Conduct Appropriate Off-site Studies	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.8-3 Conduct Archeological Surveys; WMM 4.8-11 Include	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
				Policies to Ensure Proper Handling of Paleontological Resources; WMM 4.8-13 Conduct Appropriate Studies	

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.10	Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Public Safety				
4.10-1	Increased potential for accidental release or spill of hazardous materials during construction or occupancy. Hazardous materials in the Plan area would require compliance with local, state, and federal regulations. Furthermore, the City of Roseville performs annual inspections of all businesses utilizing hazardous materials and requires each business to file a Hazardous Materials Management Plan.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.10-2	Increased demand for hazardous materials incident response. Conformance with CCR, UBC and the UFC, as well as the development of the additional fire station, would provide hazardous materials incident response services. In addition, General Plan Safety Element Policies and compliance with applicable federal and state laws that are administered by the Fire Department would ensure that this impact would be less than significant.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.10-3	Increased risk of soil or water contamination from improper disposal of household hazardous waste. The City of Roseville's existing household hazardous waste programs would ensure that risk is minimized.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant

SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.10-4	Soil or groundwater contamination from past uses. Past practices associated with the rural residential uses could have resulted in some minor areas of potential soil contamination.	CSP	PS	MM 4.10-1 Identify and Remediate Soil Contamination	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.9-2 Soil Contamination Policies	LTS
4.10-5	Use of recycled water for landscape in areas accessible to the public. Recycled water would be conveyed to the CSP for use in irrigation for parks, and landscaping in medians, landscaping for commercial areas and common areas in high density residential neighborhoods. Compliance with all health standards would ensure that impacts are minimized.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.10-6	Potential effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF) from high-voltage transmission lines. Power lines, electrical wiring and appliances all produce electric and magnetic fields. Development of the project area would increase the number of people who would be exposed to potential risks associated with EMF. Implementation of the City's General Plan policies including appropriate setbacks from the corridor would ensure that impacts would be less than significant .	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.11	Public Services				
4.11.1	Increased demand for police protection services. The project will increase the demand for police officers,	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant

SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	however, the increase in personnel would not constitute an environmental impact.				
4.11.2	Increased demand for fire protection services. Development in the Plan area would result in increased demand for fire protection and emergency medical services, potentially resulting in the need for additional staff and equipment to maintain an adequate level of service	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	PS	WMM 4.10-4 Demonstrate Adequate Response Time and WMM 4.10-6 Adopt Fire Prevention and Suppression Policies	LTS
4.11.3	Increased demand for school services. Implementation of the proposed project would increase demand for elementary school (K-5), middle school (6-8), and high school (8-12) services. One elementary school is included in the project. Existing capacity is available to serve middle and high school students outside the plan area.	CSP	LTS for school capacity and PS for safe routes to schools	MM 4.11-3 Safe Routes to School	LTS
		UR	LTS for school capacity and PS for safe routes to schools	WMM 4.10-7 Designate school sites as needed, and WMM 4.10-8 School Transportation Policies	LTS
4.11.4	Increased demand on library services. While the proposed project would increase the demand for library services, the project would not result in a significant physical impact on the environment.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.11.5	Increased demand for park facilities. The CSP will be required to comply with the city's park standards and/or pay in lieu fees.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.12	Public Utilities				
4.12.1-1	Availability of water supplies to meet demand in normal/wet years. The City has sufficient existing surface water supplies to meet the needs of the project.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-1 Secure Adequate Water Supply for Wet and Dry Years	SU
4.12.1-2	Availability of water supplies to meet demand in dry years. The City would rely on its surface water entitlements and would supplement with groundwater during dry or driest years consistent with City General Plan policies.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.1-3	Impact on American River and Delta Associated with the Diversion of the amount of surface water needed for project.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.1-4	Capacity of water treatment system to meet potable demand.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.1-5	Extension of potable water distribution system.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-4 Potable Water Storage Facility Policies	LTS
4.12.1-6	Groundwater Use	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.12-7	Changes in Groundwater Recharge potential through development of impervious surfaces	CSP	LTS	None Required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None Required	LTS
4.12.2-1	Availability of recycled water to meet demand and installation of recycled water infrastructure.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.3-1	Construction or expansion of wastewater collection facilities. The project will	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	construct needed infrastructure to connect with the City's wastewater collection system.	UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.3-2	Construction or expansion of wastewater treatment facilities. The project along with other foreseeable projects within the service area boundary would require the expansion of the Pleasant Grove Wastewater Treatment Plant.	CSP	S	MM 4.12.3-1 Treatment plant capacity	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.11-6 Treatment plant capacity policies	LTS
4.12.3-3	Water quality impacts from wastewater discharges beyond the SPWA 2005 Service Area Boundary.	CSP	S	MM 4.12.3-1 Treatment plant capacity	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-6 Treatment plant capacity policies	LTS
4.12.4-1	Increased demand for solid waste services at the materials recovery facility.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.4-2	Increased demand for solid waste services at the landfill. The proposed project has the potential to reduce the life of the landfill.	CSP	S	WMM 4.11-7 Expand the WRSL Landfill	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-7 Expand the WRSL Landfill	SU
4.12.4-3	Expansion of the landfill.	CSP	S	WMM 4.11-7 Expand the WRSL Landfill	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-7 Expand the WRSL Landfill	SU
4.12.4-4	Construction debris demand for solid waste services.	CSP	S	WMM 4.11-11 Divert Construction debris	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.11-11 Divert construction Debris	LTS
4.12.5-1	Increased demand for electricity. RE has sufficient electric supplies to serve the project.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.12.5-2	Increased demand for natural gas. PG&E has indicated it has sufficient natural gas to serve the project.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.12.5-3	Increased demand on cable television and telephone services. While the project would increase demand for cable and phone service this is not a significant environmental impact.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	LTS	None required	LTS
4.13	Hydrology and Water Quality				
4.13-1	Changes in the rate of stormwater runoff (peak flows) through the development of new impervious surfaces. The project would convert rural land to urban uses which would increase runoff.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.12-1 Prepare site Specific Drainage Study	LTS
4.13-2	Increase in the amount of surface runoff, which would exceed the capacity of existing storm drainage systems and increase the potential for downstream flooding. The Project would increase impervious surfaces, which could generate additional stormwater runoff. This would increase the volume of surface runoff entering Curry Creek watershed over existing conditions. In addition, development and grading would alter the existing runoff patterns and conveyance capacities on the properties.	CSP	S	WMM 4.12-2 Pay fair share of Roseville Regional Stormwater Retention Facility improvements.	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.12-3 Retention policies	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
4.13-3	Placement of fill or structures in 100-year floodplain could affect water surface elevations, which could increase the risk of flooding.	CSP	LTS	None required	LTS
		UR	S	WMM 4.12-4 Floodplain policies	LTS
4.13-4	Erosion and runoff from construction sites containing soil or other materials could degrade water quality if discharged to local streams. Project developers are required by state law to obtain and comply with the State General Construction Activity Stormwater Permit. They are also required under by City ordinance (RMC Chapter 14.20) to fully comply with the State construction permit and reduce pollutants to the maximum extant practicable. Compliance with the permit would involve creation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and the implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs), as outlined in the SWPPP.	CSP	S	MM 4.13-1 Implementation of construction activity stormwater protection standards	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.13-2 Stormwater management development standards; MM 4.13-3 Water quality policies	LTS
4.13-5	Changes in surface water or groundwater quality resulting from urban stormwater runoff. Development in the CSP would result in a change in the types and amounts of	CSP	S	MM 4.13-2 Stormwater management development standards	LTS
		UR	S	MM 4.13-3 Storm water quality policies	LTS

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	pollutants discharged through stormwater outfalls as undeveloped land is converted to urban uses.				
4.14	Aesthetics				
4.14-1	Alteration of the visual character of the site and vicinity. Development of the project area would convert over 368 acres of currently undeveloped grassland to urban uses. The introduction of residences, commercial uses, and infrastructure in an area that is present undeveloped would change the existing visual character of the area.	CSP	S	None available	SU
		UR	S	None available	SU
4.14-2	New sources of light and glare. The introduction of artificial light into a rural area contributes to the change in that area's character. In addition, lighting can be an annoyance if it spills into backyards or homes, as it can interfere with sleeping, watching television or other activities. Development of the project area would result in light from urban development such as residences and commercial uses, as well as recreational facilities, streetlights, and vehicles.	CSP	S	MM 4.14-1 Site lighting as to minimize nuisance; MM 4.14-2 Use low glare materials for new development; MM 4.14-3 Avoid light spill over into Curry Creek and open space areas;	SU
		UR	S	WMM 4.13-2 Light and glare policies	SU
4.14-3	Degradation of scenic resources and scenic vistas. While no designated scenic resources are present, the	CSP	S	Compliance with the City's General Plan, Communitywide Design Guidelines and the CSP Design Guidelines	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve

**TABLE 3-1
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Impact Number	Impact	CSP or UR	Significance Before Mitigation	Mitigation Measure	Residual Significance
	project site would block views to the Sierras and surrounding areas.	UR	S	Compliance with the City's General Plan, Communitywide Design Guidelines and the CSP Design Guidelines	SU

NI = No Impact LTS = Less-than-significant PS = Potentially Significant S = Significant
 SU = Significant and Unavoidable CSP = Sierra Vista Specific Plan UR = Urban Reserve